Annual Performance Assessment Report

for

Officers of Grade IV DASS

Name of Official	

Report for the year/period	AT .
t and Jean period	

i .pa	rtment :	
		FORM
	Annual Performance Appr	aisal Report of Officers of Grade –IV (DASS)
Repo	rt for the year /Period ending	1
	DEDG	SONAL DATA
		ONAL DATA
PAR	Γ – 1A	
	(To be filled by the Administr	ative Section concerned of the Department/Office)
1.	Name of Officer :	. 7
2.	Date of Birth (DD/MM/YYYY) :	
	(in words)	<u> </u>
3.	Date of continuous appointment to the present grade	Date Grade
4.	Present post held and date of appointment thereto	Post Date
5.	Whether the official belongs to Sch	eduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe?
6.	Period of absence from duty (on leave, training etc.) during the year (if he/she has undergone training, Specify)	
7.	Date of prescribed annual medical Health check up (for officers over 40 years of age) (Attach copy of summary of health report)	
PART	- 1B	
1.	Name and designation of the Re	eporting Officer:
2.	Name and designation of the Re	eviewing Officer:
3,	Signature with date (Officer reporte	ed upon) :

Administrative Officer (Name, Designation and Signature)

PART- 2 (SELF APPRAISAL)

To be filled by the Officer reported upon (Please read carefully the instruction before filling the entries)

e:					
. Have you und	ergone the prescribed	d annual Healti	Check up? :		(Yes/No
			*	****	r F
	4				
14-14				*	
100					1
		-v		20	77
			1		

PART-3 (ASSESSMENT BY THE REPORTING OFFICER)

Numerical grading is to be awarded for each of the attributes by reporting authority which should be on a scale of 1-10, where 1 refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest.

(Please read carefully the guidelines before filing the entries)

(A) Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)

	Numerical Grading by Reporting Authority	Revised Grades by Reviewing Authority (if does not agree with column no.2)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i) Accomplishment of planned work/work allotted as per subjects allotted (wherever applicable).		ul.	
ii) Quality of work		λ	
iii) Proficiency in Typing (Speed and Accuracy) (Wherever applicable)			4
iv) Proficiency in work, namely maintenance of prescribed registers and charts etc.			*
Overall Grading on "Work Output"(Total [i to iv] / 4)			

(B) Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i) Attitude to work			
ii) Sense of responsibility			
iii) Maintenance of Discipline			
iv) Communication skills			1
v) Analytical Ability			
vi) Ability to work in team			1
vii) Ability to meet deadline	-		1
viii) Inter-personal relations			1
Overall Grading on "Personal Attributes" (Total [i to viii]/8)			

(C) Assessment of functional competency (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

	Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i) Knowledge of Rules/ regulations/Procedures in the area of function and ability to apply them correctly			
ii) Coordination ability			
iii) Initiative			
iv) Proficiency in working on computer, wherever available		.,	
Overall Grading on 'Functional Competency'''(Total [i to iv] / 4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*

Note: The overall grading will be based on addition of the mean value of each group of indicators in proportion to weightage assigned.

P	ART-4	GENERAL
		ver applicable) cessibilities to the public and responsiveness to their needs)
2.	Training (Please give recommendations for training)	aining with a view to further improving the effectiveness and capabilities of the

(in case age is 40 years	and above)	
ntegrity		
Please comment on the	integrity of the officer)	
	1	
		₹,
en picture by Reporting	g Officer (in about 100 words) on the	ne overall qualities of the
ncluding area of stren	ngths and lesser strengths, extraor	dinary achievements, s
failures (ref. 3(A) & 3(B) o	of Part-2) and attitude towards weake	r sections.
,		
,		
0		- Cartina A B and Cin
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
Overall numerical gradin the Report.	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_	ng on the basis of weightage given i	n Section A, B and C in
_		
_		n Section A, B and C in
_		
_	Si	gnature of the Reporting
the Report.	Si	
_	Si	gnature of the Reporting

PART-5 REMARKS OF THE REVIEWING OFFICER

1.	Length of service under the Reviewing Officer		
	and the second		
2.	achievements/significant fails	ures of the officer reported up	officer with respect to the work output and the various asment of reporting officer in respect of extraordinary on? (Ref. Part-3(A)(iv) and Part-4(5)). [In case you ibutes please record your assessment in the column
3. I	n case of disagreement please	specify the reasons. Is there a	mything you with to modify or add?
		, and a divide a	anything you with to modify or add?
	-		- 1
			20,
4 7	The attitude of the Reporting O	fficer in assessing the work-	COO LOT OF
		Theer in assessing the perion	nance of SC/ST official.
5	Pen Picture by Reviewing Off	ficer. Please comment (in ab	out 100 words) on the overall qualities of the official
	including area of strengths and	l lesser strength and his attitude	de towards weaker sections.
6	Overall numerical grading on the Report.	the basis of weightage giver	n in Section-A, Section-B and Section-C in Part-3 of
			4
			Signature of the Reviewing Officer
Place:			Name in Block Letters:
Date:			Designation:(During the period of Report)

CERTIFICATE FOR DISCLOSURE OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

1.	Name and designation of the officer reported upon:	3-	
2.	Year/Period of Assessment::	- · ·	
3.	Date of Disclosure of APAR to the officer reported upon:		
4.	Whether representation received from the officer reported upon:	Yes	- No
5.	If yes, date of disclosure to the officer reported upon after consideration of his/her representation:		
		Signat	ture of the forwarding authority

Guidelines regarding filing up of APAR with numerical grading 1. The Annual Performance Assessment Report is an important documents, it provides the basic and vital inputs for assessing the performance of an official and for his/ her further advancement in his/ her career. The official reported upon, the Reporting Officer and the Reviewing Officer should, therefore, undertake the duty of filling out the form with a high sense of responsibility.

2. Reporting Officer should realize that the objective is to develop an official so that he / she realizes his / her ture potential. It is not meant to be a fault finding process but a developmental one. The Reporting Officer and the Reviewing Officer should not shy away form reporting shortcomings in performance, attitudes or overall personality

3. The items should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time. Any attempt to fill the report in a casual or superficial manner will be easily discernible to the higher authorities.

4. If the Reviewing Officer is satisfied that the Reporting Officer had made the report without due care and attention he / she shall record a remark to that effect in item 2 of Part-V. The Government shall enter the remarks in the APAR of the Reporting Officer.

5. Every answer shall be given in a narrative form except where numerical grading is to be awarded. The space provided indicates the desired length of the answer. Words and phrases should be chosen carefully and should accurately reflect the intention of the officer recording the answer. Unambiguous and simple language may be used.

6. The Reporting Officer shall, in the beginning of the year, assign targets to each of the officers will report to whom he is required to report upon for completion during the year. In the case of an officer taking up a new post in the course of the reporting year, such targets/ goals shall be set at the time of assumption of the new change. The tasks/ targets set should clearly be known and understood by the both the officers concerned.

7. Although performance assessment is a year end exercise, in order that it may be a tool for human resource development, the Reporting Officer should at regular intervals review the performance and take necessary corrective

steps by way of advice etc.

It should be the endeavour of each appraiser to present the truest possible picture of the appraisee in regard to his / her performance, conduct, behaviour and potential.

Assessment should be confined to the appraisee's performance during the period of report only.

10. Some post of the same rank may be more exacting than others. The degree of stress and strains in any post may also vary from time to time. These facts should be borne in mind during assessment and should be commented upon

11. Guidelines regarding filling up of APAR with numerical grading:-

The columns in the APAR should be filled in with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time. (i)

- (ii) It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- (iii) APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as 'Outstanding' and will be given a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- (iv) APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as 'Very Good' and will be given a score of 7.
- APARs graded between 4 and 6 short of 6 will be rated as 'Good' and given a score of 5. (v)

(vi) APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of 'Zero'.

The following procedure should be followed in filling up the item relating to integrity:-

If the officer / officials integrity is beyond doubt, it may be so stated.

(ii) If there is any doubt of suspicion, the item should be left blank and action taken as under :-

- A separate secret note should be recorded and followed up. A copy of the note should also be sent together with the Confidential Report to the next superior officer who will ensure that the follow- up action is taken expeditiously. Where it is not possible either to certify the integrity or to record the secret note, the Reporting Officer should state either that he has not watched the officer/ official's work for sufficient time to form a definite judgment or that he has heard nothing against the officer/ official, as the case may be.
- (b) If, as a result of follow -up action the doubts or suspicions are cleared, the officer's / official's integrity should be certified and an entry made accordingly in the Confidential Report.
- If the doubts or suspicions are confirmed, the fact should also be recorded and duty communicated to the (c) officer concerned.
- (d) If as a result of the follow up action, the doubts or suspicions are neither cleared nor confirmed the officer's conduct should be watched for a further period and thereafter action taken as indicated at (b) and (c) above.

(Ministry of Home Affairs O.M. No. 51/4/84-Estt.(a) dated 21-06-1965)